arXiv:1511.07589v1 [math.CO] 24 Nov 2015

Complex unit gain bicyclic graphs with rank 2, 3 or 4 *

Yong Lu, Ligong Wang† and Peng Xiao
Department of Applied Mathematics, School of Science, Northwestern Polytechnical University,
Xi'an, Shaanxi 710072, People's Republic of China.
E-mails: luyong.gougou@163.com; lgwangmath@163.com; xiaopeng@sust.edu.cn

Abstract

A $\mathbb{T}$-gain graph is a triple $\Phi = (G, \mathbb{T}, \varphi)$ consisting of a graph $G = (V, E)$, the circle group $\mathbb{T} = \{z \in C : |z| = 1\}$ and a gain function $\varphi : \overrightarrow{E} \to \mathbb{T}$ such that $\varphi(e_{ij}) = \varphi(e_{ji})^{-1} = \overline{\varphi(e_{ji})}$. The rank of $\mathbb{T}$-gain graph Φ, denoted by $r(\Phi)$, is the rank of the adjacency matrix of Φ. In 2015, Yu, Qu and Tu [G. H. Yu, H. Qu, J. H. Tu, Inertia of complex unit gain graphs, Appl. Math. Comput. 265(2015) 619–629] obtained some properties of inertia of a $\mathbb{T}$-gain graph. They characterized the $\mathbb{T}$-gain unicyclic graphs with small positive or negative index. Motivated by above, in this paper, we characterize the complex unit gain bicyclic graphs with rank 2, 3 or 4.

Key Words: $\mathbb{T}$-gain graph; Rank; Bicyclic graph; Complex unit gain graph.

AMS Subject Classification : 05C50, 05C22.

1 Introduction

All graphs considered in this article are simple graphs. Let $G = (V, E)$ be a simple graph with vertex set $V = V(G)$ and edge set $E = E(G)$. A *gain graph* is a graph whose edges are labeled orientably by elements of a group M. That is, if an edge e in one direction has label a group element m in M, then in the other direction it has label m^{-1} (the invertible element of m in M). We call the group M to be the *gain group*. A gain graph is a generalization of a signed graph, where the gain group M has only two elements 1 and -1, see Zaslavsky [10].

A $\mathbb{T}$-*gain graph (or complex unit gain graph)* is a graph with the additional structure that each orientation of an edge is given a complex unit, called a *gain*, which is the inverse of the complex unit assigned to the opposite orientation. For a simple graph $G = (V, E)$ of order n, let $\overrightarrow{E}$ be the set of oriented edges, it is obvious that this set contains two copies of each edge with opposite directions. We write e_{ij} for the oriented edge from v_i to v_j. The *circle group*, which is denoted by $\mathbb{T} = \{z \in C : |z| = 1\}$, is a subgroup of the multiplicative group of all nonzero complex numbers $\mathbb{C}^{\times}$. A $\mathbb{T}$-gain graph is a triple $\Phi = (G, \mathbb{T}, \varphi)$ consisting of a graph $G = (V, E)$, the circle group $\mathbb{T} = \{z \in C : |z| = 1\}$ and a gain function $\varphi : \overrightarrow{E} \to \mathbb{T}$ such that $\varphi(e_{ij}) = \varphi(e_{ji})^{-1} = \overline{\varphi(e_{ji})}$, where G is the *underlying graph* of the $\mathbb{T}$-gain graph. We often

*Supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 11171273).
†Corresponding author

write $\Phi = (G, \varphi)$ or G^{φ} for a $\mathbb{T}$-gain graph. The *adjacency matrix* associated to the $\mathbb{T}$-gain graph Φ is the $n \times n$ complex matrix $A(\Phi) = (a_{ij})$, where $a_{ij} = \varphi(e_{ij})$ if v_i is adjacent to v_j, otherwise $a_{ij} = 0$. It is obvious to see that $A(\Phi)$ is Hermitian and its eigenvalues are real. If the gain of every edge is 1 in Φ, then the adjacency matrix $A(\Phi)$ is exactly the adjacency matrix $A(G)$ of the underlying graph G. It is obvious that a simple graph is assumed as a $\mathbb{T}$-gain graph with all positive gain 1's.

The *positive inertia index* $i_+(\Phi)$, the *negative inertia index* $i_-(\Phi)$ and the *nullity* $\eta(\Phi)$ of Φ are defined to be the number of positive eigenvalues, negative eigenvalues and zero eigenvalues of $A(\Phi)$ including multiplicities, respectively. The *rank* $r(\Phi)$ of an n-vertex $\mathbb{T}$-gain graph is defined as the rank of $A(\Phi)$. Obviously, $r(\Phi) = i_+(\Phi) + i_-(\Phi) = n - \eta(\Phi)$.

An *induced subgraph* of Φ is an subgraph of Φ and each edge preserves the original gain in Φ. For a vertex $v \in V(\Phi)$, we write $\Phi - v$ for the gain graph obtained from Φ by removing the vertex v and all edges incident with v. For an induced subgraph H of Φ, let $\Phi - H$ be the subgraph obtained from Φ by deleting all vertices of H and all incident edges. The *degree* of a vertex v for a gain graph Φ is the number of the vertices incident to v in its underlying graph G. A vertex of a gain graph $\Phi = (G, \varphi)$ is called *pendant* vertex if its degree is 1 in Φ, and is called *quasi-pendant* vertex if it is adjacent to a pendant vertex in Φ. Denoted by $S_n,\ K_n,\ P_n,\ C_n$ a star, a complete graph, a path and a cycle all of order n, respectively. A graph is called *trivial* if it has one vertex and no edges, it is sometimes denoted by K_1 or P_1.

A *bicyclic* graph is a graph in which the number of edges equals the number of vertices plus one. Let G be a bicyclic graph, the *base* of G is the unique bicyclic subgraph of G containing no pendant vertices.

Let C_p and C_q be two vertex-disjoint cycles and $v \in V(C_p)$, $u \in V(C_q)$, $P_l = v_1 v_2 \dots v_l$ ($l \geq 1$) be a path of length $l-1$. Let $\infty(p, l, q)$ (as shown in Fig. 1) be the graph obtained from C_p, C_q and P_l by identifying v with v_1 and u with v_l, respectively. The bicyclic graph containing $\infty(p, l, q)$ as its base is called an *∞-graph*. We denote $\infty^{\varphi}(p, l, q)$ be the $\mathbb{T}$-gain $\infty(p, l, q)$ graph, and the $\mathbb{T}$-gain bicyclic graph containing $\infty^{\varphi}(p, l, q)$ as its base is called a *$\mathbb{T}$-gain ∞-graph*.

Let $P_{p+2}, P_{l+2}, P_{q+2}$ be three paths, where $\min\{p, l, q\} \geq 0$ and at most one of p, l, q is 0. Let $\theta(p, l, q)$ (as shown in Fig. 1) be the graph obtained from P_{p+2}, P_{l+2} and P_{q+2} by identifying the three initial vertices and terminal vertices. The bicyclic graph containing $\theta(p, l, q)$ as its base is called a *θ-graph*. We denote $\theta^{\varphi}(p, l, q)$ be the $\mathbb{T}$-gain $\theta(p, l, q)$ graph, and the $\mathbb{T}$-gain bicyclic graph containing $\theta^{\varphi}(p, l, q)$ as its base is called a *$\mathbb{T}$-gain θ-graph*.

Recently there are many widely investigated research results about spectral-based graph invariants, such as inertia index of a graph [7, 9], skew energy [1, 2] and skew-rank [3, 4, 5] of oriented graphs. Nathan Reff [6] defined the adjacency, incidence and Laplacian matrices of a complex unit gain graph. Some eigenvalue bounds for the adjacency and Laplacian matrices were present. Yu, Qu and Tu [8] obtained some properties of inertia of a $\mathbb{T}$-gain graph. They characterized the $\mathbb{T}$-gain unicyclic graphs with small positive or negative index. Motivated by above, in this paper, we will investigate some characterizations about $\mathbb{T}$-gain bicyclic graphs.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows: in Section 2, we list some elementary lemmas and known results. In Section 3, we characterize the rank of $\mathbb{T}$-gain bicyclic graphs. In Section 4, we characterize the $\mathbb{T}$-gain bicyclic graphs with rank 2, 3 or 4.

2 Preliminaries

Figure 1: $\infty(p,l,q)$ and $\theta(p,l,q)$.

Lemma 2.1. *[8]*

(a) Let $\Phi = \Phi_1 \bigcup \Phi_2 \bigcup \ldots \bigcup \Phi_t$, where $\Phi_1, \Phi_2, \ldots, \Phi_t$ are connected components of a $\mathbb{T}$-gain graph Φ. Then $i_+(\Phi) = \sum_{i=1}^{t} i_+(\Phi)_i$.

(b) Let Φ be a $\mathbb{T}$-gain graph on n vertices. Then $i_+(\Phi) = 0$ if and only if Φ is a graph without edges.

(c) Let H^φ be an induced subgraph of a $\mathbb{T}$-gain graph G^φ. Then $i_+(H^\varphi) \leq i_+(G^\varphi), i_-(H^\varphi) \leq i_-(G^\varphi)$.

Lemma 2.2. *[8] Let $\Phi = (G, \varphi)$ be a $\mathbb{T}$-gain graph containing a pendant vertex v with the unique neighbor u. Then $i_+(\Phi) = i_+(\Phi - u - v) + 1$, $i_-(\Phi) = i_-(\Phi - u - v) + 1$, $i_0(\Phi) = i_0(\Phi - u - v)$. Moreover, $r(\Phi) = r(\Phi - u - v) + 2$.*

Let C_n^φ be a weighted cycle with vertex set $\{v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n\}$ such that $v_i v_{i+1} \in E(C_n^\varphi)$ $(1 \leq i \leq n-1)$, $v_1 v_n \in E(C_n^\varphi)$. Let $w_i = \varphi(v_i v_{i+1})$ and $w_n = \varphi(v_n v_1)$.

In [8], Yu gave Definition 1 as follows about a $\mathbb{T}$-gain cycle. In fact, Definition 1 should be Definition 2.

Definition 1. *[8] A $\mathbb{T}$-gain even cycle C_n^φ is said to be of Type A if*
$(-1)^{\frac{n}{2}} w_n = w_1 w_2 w_3 \ldots w_{n-2} w_{n-1}$;
C_n^φ is said to be of Type B if
$(-1)^{\frac{n}{2}} w_n \neq w_1 w_2 w_3 \ldots w_{n-2} w_{n-1}$.
A $\mathbb{T}$-gain odd cycle C_n^φ is said to be of Type C if
$Re\left((-1)^{\frac{n-1}{2}} w_1 w_2 w_3 \ldots w_{n-2} w_{n-1} \overline{w_n}\right) > 0$;
C_n^φ is said to be of Type D if
$Re\left((-1)^{\frac{n-1}{2}} w_1 w_2 w_3 \ldots w_{n-2} w_{n-1} \overline{w_n}\right) < 0$;
C_n^φ is said to be of Type E if
$Re\left((-1)^{\frac{n-1}{2}} w_1 w_2 w_3 \ldots w_{n-2} w_{n-1} \overline{w_n}\right) = 0$,

where $Re(\cdot)$ is the real part of a complex number.

In the proof of Theorem 7 in [8], let $w_i = \varphi(v_i v_{i+1})$ $(1 \leq i \leq n-1)$, $w_n = \varphi(v_n v_1)$. The authors of [8] gave the adjacency matrix $A(C_n^\varphi)$ of C_n^φ as follows:

$$A(C_n^\varphi) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & w_1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & w_n \\ \overline{w_1} & 0 & w_2 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \overline{w_2} & 0 & w_3 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \overline{w_3} & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & w_{n-1} \\ \overline{w_n} & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \overline{w_{n-1}} & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

From $A(C_n^{\varphi})$, we can see that $a_{1n} = w_n$ should be $a_{1n} = \overline{w_n}$ and $a_{n1} = \overline{w_n}$ should be $a_{n1} = w_n$. So, they made a mistake. In the following, we will give the right definition.

Definition 2. *A $\mathbb{T}$-gain even cycle C_n^{φ} is said to be of Type A if*
$(-1)^{\frac{n}{2}}\overline{w_n} = w_1w_2w_3\dots w_{n-2}w_{n-1}$
(i.e., $\varphi(e_{n-1,n}) + (-1)^{\frac{n-2}{2}}\frac{\varphi(e_{1,n})\varphi(e_{32})\varphi(e_{54})\cdots\varphi(e_{n-1,n-2})}{\varphi(e_{12})\varphi(e_{34})\cdots\varphi(e_{n-3,n-2})} = 0$);
C_n^{φ} is said to be of Type B if
$(-1)^{\frac{n}{2}}\overline{w_n} \neq w_1w_2w_3\dots w_{n-2}w_{n-1}$
(i.e., $\varphi(e_{n-1,n}) + (-1)^{\frac{n-2}{2}}\frac{\varphi(e_{1,n})\varphi(e_{32})\varphi(e_{54})\cdots\varphi(e_{n-1,n-2})}{\varphi(e_{12})\varphi(e_{34})\cdots\varphi(e_{n-3,n-2})} \neq 0$).
A $\mathbb{T}$-gain odd cycle C_n^{φ} is said to be of Type C if
$Re\left((-1)^{\frac{n-1}{2}}w_1w_2w_3\dots w_{n-2}w_{n-1}w_n\right) > 0$
(i.e., $Re\left((-1)^{\frac{n-1}{2}}\frac{\varphi(e_{32})\varphi(e_{54})\dots\varphi(e_{n,n-1})\varphi(e_{1,n})}{\varphi(e_{12})\varphi(e_{34})\dots\varphi(e_{n-2,n-1})}\right) > 0$);
C_n^{φ} is said to be of Type D if
$Re\left((-1)^{\frac{n-1}{2}}w_1w_2w_3\dots w_{n-2}w_{n-1}w_n\right) < 0$
(i.e., $Re\left((-1)^{\frac{n-1}{2}}\frac{\varphi(e_{32})\varphi(e_{54})\dots\varphi(e_{n,n-1})\varphi(e_{1,n})}{\varphi(e_{12})\varphi(e_{34})\dots\varphi(e_{n-2,n-1})}\right) < 0$);
C_n^{φ} is said to be of Type E if
$Re\left((-1)^{\frac{n-1}{2}}w_1w_2w_3\dots w_{n-2}w_{n-1}w_n\right) = 0$
(i.e., $Re\left((-1)^{\frac{n-1}{2}}\frac{\varphi(e_{32})\varphi(e_{54})\dots\varphi(e_{n,n-1})\varphi(e_{1,n})}{\varphi(e_{12})\varphi(e_{34})\dots\varphi(e_{n-2,n-1})}\right) = 0$),

where $Re(\cdot)$ is the real part of a complex number.

Lemma 2.3. *[8] Let C_n^{φ} be a $\mathbb{T}$-gain cycle of order n. Then*

$$(i_+(C_n^{\varphi}), i_-(C_n^{\varphi}), i_0(C_n^{\varphi})) = \begin{cases} (\frac{n-2}{2}, \frac{n-2}{2}, 2), & \text{if } C_n^{\varphi} \text{ is of Type A,} \\ (\frac{n}{2}, \frac{n}{2}, 0), & \text{if } C_n^{\varphi} \text{ is of Type B,} \\ (\frac{n+1}{2}, \frac{n-1}{2}, 0), & \text{if } C_n^{\varphi} \text{ is of Type C,} \\ (\frac{n-1}{2}, \frac{n+1}{2}, 0), & \text{if } C_n^{\varphi} \text{ is of Type D,} \\ (\frac{n-1}{2}, \frac{n-1}{2}, 1), & \text{if } C_n^{\varphi} \text{ is of Type E.} \end{cases}$$

Two pendant vertices are called *pendant twins* in a $\mathbb{T}$-gain graph Φ if they have the same neighbor in Φ.

Lemma 2.4. *Let u, v be pendant twins of a $\mathbb{T}$-gain graph Φ. Then $r(\Phi) = r(\Phi - u) = r(\Phi - v)$.*

Proof. Assume that all vertices in Φ are indexed by $\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$ with $v_1 = v, v_2 = u$. Without loss of generality, we assume v, u are incident with $w = v_3$. Then it follows that

$$A(\Phi) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \varphi(e_{13}) & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \varphi(e_{23}) & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \varphi(e_{31}) & \varphi(e_{32}) & 0 & \varphi(e_{34}) & \cdots & \varphi(e_{3n}) \\ 0 & 0 & \varphi(e_{43}) & 0 & \cdots & \varphi(e_{4n}) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \varphi(e_{n3}) & \varphi(e_{n4}) & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

By elementary row and column transformations on $A(\Phi)$, we have

$$r(A(\Phi)) = r\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \varphi(e_{13}) & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \varphi(e_{31}) & 0 & \varphi(e_{34}) & \cdots & \varphi(e_{3n}) \\ 0 & \varphi(e_{43}) & 0 & \cdots & \varphi(e_{4n}) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \varphi(e_{n3}) & \varphi(e_{n4}) & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

So, $r(\Phi) = r(\Phi - u)$. Similarly, $r(\Phi) = r(\Phi - v)$. $\square$

From Lemmas 2.1, 2.2 and 2.4, we can get the following two lemmas.

Lemma 2.5. *Let $\Phi_0 = (G_0, \varphi_0)$ be a $\mathbb{T}$-gain graph of order $n - p$ such that $u \in V(\Phi_0)$. Let $\Phi_1 = (G_1, \varphi_1)$ be the $\mathbb{T}$-gain graph obtained from Φ_0 and $S_p^{\varphi_3}$ by inserting an edge between u and the center v_1 of $S_p^{\varphi_3}$. Let $\Phi_2 = (G_2, \varphi_2) = \Phi_1 - \{v_1v_2, v_1v_3, \ldots, v_1v_p\} + \{uv_2, uv_3, \ldots, uv_p\}$. Then $r(\Phi_1) \geq r(\Phi_2)$.*

Lemma 2.6. *Let $\Phi_0 = (G_0, \varphi_0)$ be a $\mathbb{T}$-gain graph of order $n - l - t$ and $v_1, v_2 \in V(\Phi_0)$. Assume that $\Phi_1 = (G_1, \varphi_1)$ be the $\mathbb{T}$-gain graph obtained from Φ_0, $S_{l+1}^{\varphi_3}$ and $S_{t+1}^{\varphi_4}$ by identifying v_1 with the center of $S_{l+1}^{\varphi_3}$, v_2 with the center of $S_{t+1}^{\varphi_4}$, respectively. Let $\Phi_2 = (G_2, \varphi_2)$ be the $\mathbb{T}$-gain graph obtained from Φ_0, $S_{l+t+1}^{\varphi_5}$ by identifying v_1 with the center of $S_{l+t+1}^{\varphi_5}$. Then $r(\Phi_1) \geq r(\Phi_2)$.*

Lemma 2.7. *Let $\Phi_1 = (G_1, \varphi_1)$ and $\Phi_2 = (G_2, \varphi_2)$ be two $\mathbb{T}$-gain graphs and $v \in V(\Phi_1)$, $u \in V(\Phi_2)$. Let $P_l^{\varphi_3} (l \geq 3)$ be a $\mathbb{T}$-gain path with two end-vertices v_1 and v_l. Let $\Phi = (G, \varphi)$ be the $\mathbb{T}$-gain graph obtained from $\Phi_1 \bigcup \Phi_2 \bigcup P_l^{\varphi_3}$ by identifying v with v_1, u with v_l, respectively. Let $\Phi' = (G', \varphi')$ be the $\mathbb{T}$-gain graph obtained from $\Phi_1 \bigcup \Phi_2$ by identifying v with u and adding $l - 1$ pendant vertices to v. Then $r(\Phi) \geq r(\Phi')$.*

Proof. By Lemma 2.2, we have $r(\Phi') = 2 + r(\Phi_1 - v) + r(\Phi_2 - u)$.

If $l = 3$, by Lemmas 2.1(c) and 2.2, then we have $r(\Phi) \geq 2 + r(\Phi_1 - v) + r(\Phi_2 - u)$.

If $l \geq 4$, by Lemmas 2.1(c) and 2.2, then we have $r(\Phi) \geq 2 + r(\Phi_1 - v) + r(\Phi_2)$. Note that $r(\Phi_2) \geq r(\Phi_2 - u)$, therefore $r(\Phi) \geq r(\Phi')$. $\square$

Theorem 2.8. *Let $C_n^{\varphi_0}$ be a $\mathbb{T}$-gain cycle of order n ($n \geq 3$) and $H = (G_1, \varphi_1)$ be a $\mathbb{T}$-gain graph of order m ($m \geq 1$). Assume that $\Phi = (G, \varphi)$ is the $\mathbb{T}$-gain graph obtained by identifying a vertex of $C_n^{\varphi_0}$ with a vertex of H (i.e., $V(C_n^{\varphi_0}) \bigcap V(H) = v$). Let $F = (G_2, \varphi_2)$ be the induced subgraph obtained from H by deleting the vertex v and its incident edges. Then*

$$\begin{cases} r(\Phi) = n - 2 + r(H), & \text{if } C_n^{\varphi} \text{ is of Type A,} \\ r(\Phi) = n + r(F), & \text{if } C_n^{\varphi} \text{ is of Type B,} \\ r(\Phi) = n - 1 + r(H), & \text{if } C_n^{\varphi} \text{ is of Type E,} \\ n - 1 + r(F) \leq r(\Phi) \leq n + r(H), & \text{if } C_n^{\varphi} \text{ is of Type C or D.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. Case 1. When n is even, without loss of generality, we assume that $n = 2p$ ($p \geq 2$) and $V(C_n^{\varphi_0}) \bigcap V(H) = v_{2p}$. Then the adjacency matrix $A(\Phi)$ of Φ can be expressed as:

$$A(\Phi)=\begin{pmatrix}
0 & \varphi(e_{12}) & & & & & & \varphi(e_{1,2p}) & & \\
\varphi(e_{21}) & 0 & \varphi(e_{23}) & & & & & 0 & & \\
 & \varphi(e_{32}) & 0 & \varphi(e_{34}) & & & & \vdots & & \\
 & & \varphi(e_{43}) & \ddots & \ddots & & & \vdots & \mathbf{0} & \\
 & \mathbf{0} & & \ddots & \ddots & \varphi(e_{2p-2,2p-1}) & 0 & & & \\
 & & & & \varphi(e_{2p-1,2p-2}) & 0 & \varphi(e_{2p-1,2p}) & & & \\
\varphi(e_{2p,1}) & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & \varphi(e_{2p,2p-1}) & 0 & \varphi(\alpha_1) & \cdots & \varphi(\alpha_{m-1}) \\
 & & & & & & \overline{\varphi(\alpha_1)} & & & \\
 & & & \mathbf{0} & & & \vdots & \mathbf{M} & & \\
 & & & & & & \overline{\varphi(\alpha_{m-1})} & & &
\end{pmatrix},$$

where $v_iv_{i+1}\in E(C_n^{\varphi_0})(1\le i\le 2p-1)$, $v_1v_{2p}\in E(C_n^{\varphi_0})$, $\alpha_j\in E(H),\ j=1,2,\ldots,m-1,\ M=A(F)$.

By elementary row and column transformations, it is obvious to show that $A(\Phi)$ is congruent to

$$A(\Phi_1)=\begin{pmatrix}
A_1 & & & & & & & \\
 & \ddots & & & & & & \\
 & & A_{p-1} & & & & & \\
 & & & 0 & a & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
 & & & \overline{a} & 0 & \varphi(\alpha_1) & \cdots & \varphi(\alpha_{m-1}) \\
 & & & 0 & \overline{\varphi(\alpha_1)} & & & \\
 & & & \vdots & \vdots & & \mathbf{M} & \\
 & & & 0 & \overline{\varphi(\alpha_{m-1})} & & &
\end{pmatrix},$$

where

$$A_i=\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \varphi(e_{2i-1,2i}) \\ \varphi(e_{2i,2i-1}) & 0 \end{pmatrix},\ i=1,2,\ldots p-1,$$

$$a=\varphi(e_{2p-1,2p})+(-1)^{\frac{2p-2}{2}}\frac{\varphi(e_{1,2p})\varphi(e_{32})\cdots\varphi(e_{2p-1,2p-2})}{\varphi(e_{12})\varphi(e_{34})\cdots\varphi(e_{2p-3,2p-2})}.$$

So, we can get the following results

(*a*) If $a=\varphi(e_{2p-1,2p})+(-1)^{\frac{2p-2}{2}}\frac{\varphi(e_{1,2p})\varphi(e_{32})\cdots\varphi(e_{2p-1,2p-2})}{\varphi(e_{12})\varphi(e_{34})\cdots\varphi(e_{2p-3,2p-2})}=0$, i.e., C_n^{φ} is of Type A, then we have $r(\Phi)=2p-2+r(H)=n-2+r(H)$.

(*b*) If $a=\varphi(e_{2p-1,2p})+(-1)^{\frac{2p-2}{2}}\frac{\varphi(e_{1,2p})\varphi(e_{32})\cdots\varphi(e_{2p-1,2p-2})}{\varphi(e_{12})\varphi(e_{34})\cdots\varphi(e_{2p-3,2p-2})}\neq 0$, i.e., C_n^{φ} is of Type B, then we have $r(\Phi)=2p+r(F)=n+r(F)$.

Case 2. When n is odd, without loss of generality, we assume that $n=2p-1$ $(p\ge 2)$ and $V(C_n^{\varphi_0})\bigcap V(H)=v_{2p-1}$. By elementary row and column transformations, we can show $A(\Phi)$ is congruent to

$$A(\Phi_1)=\begin{pmatrix}
A_1 & & & & \\
 & A_2 & & & \\
 & & \ddots & & \\
 & & & A_{p-1} & \\
 & & & & C
\end{pmatrix},$$

where

$$A_i = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \varphi(e_{2i-1,2i}) \\ \varphi(e_{2i,2i-1}) & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$C = \begin{pmatrix} a & \varphi(\alpha_1) & \cdots & \varphi(\alpha_{m-1}) \\ \overline{\varphi(\alpha_1)} & & & \\ \vdots & & \mathbf{M} & \\ \overline{\varphi(\alpha_{m-1})} & & & \end{pmatrix},$$

$a = 2Re\left((-1)^{\frac{2p-2}{2}} \frac{\varphi(e_{1,2p-1})\varphi(e_{32})\cdots\varphi(e_{2p-1,2p-2})}{\varphi(e_{12})\varphi(e_{34})\cdots\varphi(e_{2p-3,2p-2})}\right)$, for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, p-1$. So, we can get the following results

(c) If $a = 0$, i.e., C_n^{φ} is of Type E, then we have $r(\Phi) = 2p - 2 + r(H) = n - 1 + r(H)$.

(d) If $a \neq 0$, i.e., C_n^{φ} is of Type C or D, by Lemmas 2.1(c), 2.2 and $r(C) \leq r(H) + 1$, then we have $n - 1 + r(F) \leq r(\Phi) \leq n + r(H)$. $\square$

3 The rank of $\mathbb{T}$-gain bicyclic graphs

In this section, we shall characterize the rank of $\mathbb{T}$-gain bicyclic graphs. First, we will give two theorems.



Figure 2: G^*, $\infty(p, 2, q)$ and G^{**}.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $\Phi = (G, \varphi)$ be a $\mathbb{T}$-gain bicyclic graph of order n $(n \geq p+q)$ with pendant vertices containing two edge disjoint cycles $C_p^{\varphi_1}$ and $C_q^{\varphi_2}$. Then*

$$r(\Phi) \geq \begin{cases} p+q, & \text{if } p,\ q \text{ are odd,} \\ p+q-2, & \text{if } p,\ q \text{ are even,} \\ p+q-1, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

This bound is sharp.

Proof. Let $\Phi^* = (G^*, \varphi^*)$, where G^* (as shown in Fig. 2) is the underlying graph of Φ^*. By Lemma 2.2, we have $r(\Phi^*) = 2 + r(P_{p-1}^{\varphi_1}) + r(P_{q-1}^{\varphi_2})$.

Let $\Phi = (G, \varphi)$ be a $\mathbb{T}$-gain bicyclic graph of order n $(n \geq p+q)$ with pendant vertices containing two edge disjoint cycles $C_p^{\varphi_1}$ and $C_q^{\varphi_2}$. In the following we shall prove that $r(\Phi) \geq r(\Phi^*)$. By Lemmas 2.5, 2.6 and 2.7, we will distinguish the following two cases.

Case 1. Φ is a $\mathbb{T}$-gain bicyclic graph obtained from $\infty^{\varphi}(p, 1, q)$ by attaching $n-p-q+1$ $(n \geq p+q)$ pendant vertices to a vertex, different from x, of $C_p^{\varphi_1}$ or $C_q^{\varphi_2}$, where x is the common vertex of $C_p^{\varphi_1}$ and $C_q^{\varphi_2}$.

Without loss of generality, we suppose all the pendant vertices are attached at $C_p^{\varphi_1}$. Then we have

$$r(\Phi) = 2 + r(P_{p-1}^{\varphi_1}) + \begin{cases} r(P_{q-1}^{\varphi_2}), & \text{if } p \text{ is odd,} \\ r(P_{q-1}^{\varphi_2}) \text{ or } r(C_q^{\varphi_2}), & \text{if } p \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

From Lemma 2.1(c) and Lemma 2.3, we have $r(C_q^{\varphi_2}) \geq r(P_{q-1}^{\varphi_2})$. So we have $r(\Phi) \geq r(\Phi^*)$.

Case 2. Φ is a $\mathbb{T}$-gain bicyclic graph obtained from $\infty^{\varphi}(p,2,q)$ by attaching $n-p-q$ ($n \geq p+q+1$) pendant vertices to a vertex w of $C_p^{\varphi_1}$ or $C_q^{\varphi_2}$.

Without loss of generality, we suppose all the pendant vertices are attached at $C_p^{\varphi_1}$. If $w = v$ (where v is shown in Fig. 2), then we have $r(\Phi) = 2 + r(P_{p-1}^{\varphi_1}) + r(C_q^{\varphi_2}) \geq r(\Phi^*)$. If $w \neq v$, then we have

$$r(\Phi) = 2 + \begin{cases} r(P_{p-1}^{\varphi_1}) + r(C_q^{\varphi_2}), & \text{if } p \text{ is odd,} \\ p + r(P_{q-1}^{\varphi_2}) \text{ or } r(P_{p-1}^{\varphi_1}) + r(C_q^{\varphi_2}), & \text{if } p \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

So we have $r(\Phi) \geq r(\Phi^*)$. $\square$

Let u, v be two vertices in $\theta^{\varphi}(p,l,q)$ (as show in Fig. 1). Let $\Phi^{**} = (G^{**}, \varphi)$ be the $\mathbb{T}$-gain bicyclic graph with $n-p-q-l-2$ ($n \geq p+q+l+3$) pendant vertices attached to v in $\theta^{\varphi}(p,l,q)$ (as show in Fig. 2).

Theorem 3.2. *Let Φ be a $\mathbb{T}$-gain bicyclic graph of order n ($n \geq p+q+l+3$) with pendant vertices containing $\theta^{\varphi}(p,l,q)$ as its base. If $plq \neq 0$, then*

$$r(\Phi) \geq \begin{cases} p+q+l+2, & \text{if } p+q+l \text{ is even,} \\ p+q+l+1, & \text{if } p,\ q,\ l \text{ are odd, or } p \text{ is odd, } C_{q+l+2}^{\varphi} \text{ is} \\ & \text{of Type A,} \\ p+q+l+3, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

This bound is sharp.

Proof. Let $\Phi^{**} = \Phi_1$ be a $\mathbb{T}$-gain graph with G^{**} as the underlying graph. By Lemma 2.2, we have

$$r(\Phi_1) = \begin{cases} 2 + p + r(P_{q+l+1}^{\varphi_0}), & \text{if } p \text{ is even,} \\ 3 + p + r(P_q^{\varphi_1}) + r(P_l^{\varphi_2}), & \text{if } p \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

Let Φ_2 be a $\mathbb{T}$-gain bicyclic graph of order n ($n \geq p+q+l+3$) with pendant vertices containing $\theta^{\varphi}(p,l,q)$ as its base. Consider the graph Φ_2 in which all $n-p-q-l-2$ pendant vertices are attached to a vertex, different from u and v of $\theta^{\varphi}(p,l,q)$. Without loss of generality, assume that $n-p-q-l-2$ pendant vertices are attached to a vertex of $P_{p+2}^{\varphi_3}$ in Φ_2. By Lemma 2.2, we have

$$r(\Phi_2) = \begin{cases} 2 + p + r(P_{q+l+1}^{\varphi_0}), & \text{if } p \text{ is even,} \\ 3 + p + r(P_q^{\varphi_1}) + r(P_l^{\varphi_2}) \text{ or } 1 + p + r(C_{q+l+2}^{\varphi_4}), & \text{if } p \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

Combing the ranks of Φ_1, Φ_2 and Lemmas 2.2 and 2.3, we can get the results. $\square$

Next we consider the special case that one of p, q, l is zero. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $l = 0$. By a similar discussion as in the proof of Theorem 3.2, we can get the following result.

Theorem 3.3. *Let Φ be a $\mathbb{T}$-gain bicyclic graph of order n with pendant vertices containing $\theta^{\varphi}(p,0,q)$ as its base. Then*

$$r(\Phi) \geq \begin{cases} 2 + p + q, & \text{if } p+q \text{ is even,} \\ 1 + p + q, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

This bound is sharp.

Combining Theorems 3.1, 3.2 and 3.3, we can get the following theorem.

Theorem 3.4. *Let $\Phi = (G, \varphi)$ be a $\mathbb{T}$-gain bicyclic graph of order n with pendant vertices. Then*

(1) If Φ is a $\mathbb{T}$-gain ∞-graph, then $r(\Phi) \geq 6$.

(2) If Φ is a $\mathbb{T}$-gain θ-graph, then $r(\Phi) \geq 4$.

Table 1
The gain conditions for each gain graph in Theorem 4.1 with rank 2, 3 or 4.

Rank of G^φ	Gain graph G^φ and its gain conditions
$r(G^\varphi) = 2$	G_5^φ, the subgraph induced on vertices 1, 2, 3 is of Type E and the subgraph induced on vertices 1, 2, 4, 3 is of Type A. G_9^φ, the subgraphs induced on vertices 1, 2, 3, 4 and 1, 2, 5, 4 are of Type A.
$r(G^\varphi) = 3$	G_5^φ, the subgraph induced on vertices 1, 2, 3 is of Type C or D, and the subgraph induced on vertices 1, 2, 4, 3 is of Type A.
$r(G^\varphi) = 4$	G_1^φ, $Re\left(-\varphi(e_{15})\frac{\varphi(e_{52})}{\varphi(e_{12})}\right) + Re\left(-\varphi(e_{35})\frac{\varphi(e_{54})}{\varphi(e_{34})}\right) = 0$. G_2^φ, the subgraph induced on vertices 3, 4, 5, 6 is of Type A and the subgraph induced on vertices 1, 2, 3 is of Type E. G_3^φ, the subgraphs induced on vertices 1, 2, 4, 3 and 4, 5, 6, 7 are of Type A. G_5^φ, the subgraph induced on vertices 1, 2, 3 is of Type C, or D, or E and the subgraph induced on vertices 1, 2, 4, 3 is of Type B. G_6^φ, $Re\left(-\varphi(e_{15})\frac{\varphi(e_{52})}{\varphi(e_{12})}\right) + Re\left(\frac{\varphi(e_{15})\varphi(e_{32})\varphi(e_{54})}{\varphi(e_{12})\varphi(e_{34})}\right) = 0$. G_7^φ, the subgraph induced on vertices 1, 2, 6 is of Type E and the subgraph induced on vertices 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 is of Type A. G_8^φ, $\varphi(e_{16})\varphi(e_{32})\varphi(e_{54}) - \varphi(e_{16})\varphi(e_{34})\varphi(e_{52}) + \varphi(e_{12})\varphi(e_{34})\varphi(e_{56}) = 0$. G_9^φ, the subgraph induced on vertices 1, 2, 3, 4 is of Type A and the subgraph induced on vertices 1, 2, 5, 4 is of Type B, or the subgraph induced on vertices 1, 2, 3, 4 is of Type B and the subgraph induced on vertices 1, 2, 5, 4 is of Type A or B. G_{10}^φ, the subgraph induced on vertices 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 is of Type E and the subgraph induced on vertices 1, 2, 6, 5 is of Type A. G_{11}^φ, the subgraphs induced on vertices 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 and 1, 2, 7, 6 are of Type A.

4 The $\mathbb{T}$-gain bicyclic graphs with rank 2, 3 or 4

In this section, we shall characterize the $\mathbb{T}$-gain bicyclic graphs with rank 2, 3 or 4.

Theorem 4.1. *Let $\Phi = (G, \varphi)$ be a $\mathbb{T}$-gain bicyclic graph without pendant vertex. Then*

(1) $r(\Phi) = 2$ if and only if Φ with one of G_i's ($i = 5, 9$) (as shown in Fig. 3) as its underlying graph, and the corresponding gain conditions are as shown in Table 1.

(2) $r(\Phi) = 3$ if and only if Φ with G_5 (as shown in Fig. 3) as its underlying graph, and the corresponding gain conditions are as shown in Table 1.



Figure 3: The eleven graphs in Theorem 4.1.

(3) $r(\Phi) = 4$ *if and only if* Φ *with one of* G_i*'s* $(i = 1-3,\ 5-11)$ *(as shown in Fig. 3) as its underlying graph, and the corresponding gain conditions are as shown in Table 1.*

Proof. Let $\Phi = (G, \varphi)$ be a $\mathbb{T}$-gain bicyclic graph without pendant vertices. Then G is a underlying graph of Φ, and G^{φ} is a base.

Case 1. G is an ∞-graph $\infty(p, l, q)$.

Without loss of generality, we suppose that $p \leq q$. If $(p, l, q) \in \{(3,1,3),\ (3,1,4),\ (4,1,4),\ (3,2,3)\}$, then G is one of the graphs $G_1 - G_4$ in Fig. 3.

$$A(G_1^{\varphi}) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \varphi(e_{12}) & 0 & 0 & \varphi(e_{15}) \\ \varphi(e_{21}) & 0 & 0 & 0 & \varphi(e_{25}) \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \varphi(e_{34}) & \varphi(e_{35}) \\ 0 & 0 & \varphi(e_{43}) & 0 & \varphi(e_{45}) \\ \varphi(e_{51}) & \varphi(e_{52}) & \varphi(e_{53}) & \varphi(e_{54}) & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

By simple calculations, we have

$$r(G_1^{\varphi}) = r\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \varphi(e_{12}) \\ \varphi(e_{21}) & 0 \end{pmatrix} + r\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \varphi(e_{34}) \\ \varphi(e_{43}) & 0 \end{pmatrix} + r(a + \overline{a} + b + \overline{b}),$$

where $a = -\varphi(e_{15})\frac{\varphi(e_{52})}{\varphi(e_{12})}$, $b = -\varphi(e_{35})\frac{\varphi(e_{54})}{\varphi(e_{34})}$. So $r(G_1^{\varphi}) = 4$ if and only if $a + \overline{a} + b + \overline{b} = 0$, i.e., $Re\left(-\varphi(e_{15})\frac{\varphi(e_{52})}{\varphi(e_{12})}\right) + Re\left(-\varphi(e_{35})\frac{\varphi(e_{54})}{\varphi(e_{34})}\right) = 0$.

By Lemma 2.3 and Theorem 2.8, we have $r(G_2^{\varphi}) = 4$ if and only if the subgraph induced on vertices 3, 4, 5, 6 is of Type A and the subgraph induced on vertices 1, 2, 3 is of Type E.

By Lemma 2.3 and Theorem 2.8, we have $r(G_3^{\varphi}) = 4$ if and only if all the subgraphs induced on vertices 1, 2, 4, 3 and 4, 5, 6, 7 are of Type A.

$$A(G_4^{\varphi}) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \varphi(e_{12}) & 0 & 0 & \varphi(e_{15}) & 0 \\ \varphi(e_{21}) & 0 & 0 & 0 & \varphi(e_{25}) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \varphi(e_{34}) & 0 & \varphi(e_{36}) \\ 0 & 0 & \varphi(e_{43}) & 0 & 0 & \varphi(e_{46}) \\ \varphi(e_{51}) & \varphi(e_{52}) & 0 & 0 & 0 & \varphi(e_{56}) \\ 0 & 0 & \varphi(e_{63}) & \varphi(e_{64}) & \varphi(e_{65}) & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

By simple calculations, we have

$$r(G_4^{\varphi}) = r\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \varphi(e_{12}) \\ \varphi(e_{21}) & 0 \end{pmatrix} + r\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \varphi(e_{34}) \\ \varphi(e_{43}) & 0 \end{pmatrix} + r\begin{pmatrix} a + \overline{a} & \varphi(e_{56}) \\ \varphi(e_{65}) & b + \overline{b} \end{pmatrix},$$

where $a = -\varphi(e_{15})\frac{\varphi(e_{52})}{\varphi(e_{12})}$, $b = -\varphi(e_{36})\frac{\varphi(e_{64})}{\varphi(e_{34})}$.

So, $5 \leq r(G_4^\varphi) \leq 6$ since $\varphi(e_{56}) \neq 0$ and $\varphi(e_{65}) \neq 0$.

If $p \geq 3,\ l \geq 1,\ q \geq 5$ or $p \geq 3,\ l \geq 2,\ q \geq 4$, by Lemmas 2.1, 2.2 and 2.3, then we have $r(\Phi) \geq 6$.

Case 2. G is a θ-graph $\theta(p, l, q)$.

Without loss of generality, we suppose that $p \leq l \leq q$. If $(p, l, q) \in \{(0,1,1)\ (0,1,2),\ (0,1,3),\ (0,2,2),\ (1,1,1),\ (1,1,2),\ (1,1,3)\}$, then G is one of the graphs $G_5 - G_{11}$ in Fig. 3.

$$A(G_5^\varphi) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \varphi(e_{12}) & \varphi(e_{13}) & 0 \\ \varphi(e_{21}) & 0 & \varphi(e_{23}) & \varphi(e_{24}) \\ \varphi(e_{31}) & \varphi(e_{32}) & 0 & \varphi(e_{34}) \\ 0 & \varphi(e_{42}) & \varphi(e_{43}) & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

By simple calculations, we have

$$r(G_5^\varphi) = r\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \varphi(e_{12}) \\ \varphi(e_{21}) & 0 \end{pmatrix} + r\begin{pmatrix} a+\overline{a} & \overline{b} \\ b & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

where $a = -\varphi(e_{13})\frac{\varphi(e_{32})}{\varphi(e_{12})}$, $b = \varphi(e_{43}) - \varphi(e_{13})\frac{\varphi(e_{42})}{\varphi(e_{12})}$.

So, we have

$$r(G_5^\varphi) = \begin{cases} 2, \text{ if } Re(a) = 0,\ b = 0, \\ 3, \text{ if } Re(a) \neq 0,\ b = 0, \\ 4, \text{ if } Re(a) = 0,\ b \neq 0 \text{ or } Re(a) \neq 0,\ b \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

i.e., $r(G_5^\varphi) = 2$ if and only if the subgraph induced on vertices 1, 2, 3 is of Type E and the subgraph induced on vertices 1, 2, 4, 3 is of Type A.

$r(G_5^\varphi) = 3$ if and only if the subgraph induced on vertices 1, 2, 3 is of Type C or D and the subgraph induced on vertices 1, 2, 4, 3 is of Type A.

$r(G_5^\varphi) = 4$ if and only if the subgraph induced on vertices 1, 2, 3 is of Type E and the subgraph induced on vertices 1, 2, 4, 3 is of Type B, or the subgraph induced on vertices 1, 2, 3 is of Type C or D and the subgraph induced on vertices 1, 2, 4, 3 is of Type B.

$$A(G_6^\varphi) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \varphi(e_{12}) & 0 & 0 & \varphi(e_{15}) \\ \varphi(e_{21}) & 0 & \varphi(e_{23}) & 0 & \varphi(e_{25}) \\ 0 & \varphi(e_{32}) & 0 & \varphi(e_{34}) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \varphi(e_{43}) & 0 & \varphi(e_{45}) \\ \varphi(e_{51}) & \varphi(e_{52}) & 0 & \varphi(e_{54}) & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

By simple calculations, we have

$$r(G_6^\varphi) = r\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \varphi(e_{12}) \\ \varphi(e_{21}) & 0 \end{pmatrix} + r\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \varphi(e_{34}) \\ \varphi(e_{43}) & 0 \end{pmatrix} + r(a + \overline{a} + b + \overline{b}),$$

where $a = -\varphi(e_{15})\frac{\varphi(e_{52})}{\varphi(e_{12})}$, $b = \frac{\varphi(e_{15})\varphi(e_{32})\varphi(e_{54})}{\varphi(e_{12})\varphi(e_{34})}$.

So, $r(G_6^\varphi) = 4$ if and only if $Re\left(-\varphi(e_{15})\frac{\varphi(e_{52})}{\varphi(e_{12})}\right) + Re\left(\frac{\varphi(e_{15})\varphi(e_{32})\varphi(e_{54})}{\varphi(e_{12})\varphi(e_{34})}\right) = 0$.

$$A(G_7^{\varphi}) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \varphi(e_{12}) & 0 & 0 & 0 & \varphi(e_{16}) \\ \varphi(e_{21}) & 0 & \varphi(e_{23}) & 0 & 0 & \varphi(e_{26}) \\ 0 & \varphi(e_{32}) & 0 & \varphi(e_{34}) & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \varphi(e_{43}) & 0 & \varphi(e_{45}) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \varphi(e_{54}) & 0 & \varphi(e_{56}) \\ \varphi(e_{61}) & \varphi(e_{62}) & 0 & 0 & \varphi(e_{65}) & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

By simple calculations, we have

$$r(G_7^{\varphi}) = r\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \varphi(e_{12}) \\ \varphi(e_{21}) & 0 \end{pmatrix} + r\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \varphi(e_{34}) \\ \varphi(e_{43}) & 0 \end{pmatrix} + r\begin{pmatrix} 0 & b \\ \overline{b} & a+\overline{a} \end{pmatrix},$$

where $a = -\varphi(e_{16})\frac{\varphi(e_{62})}{\varphi(e_{12})}$, $b = \varphi(e_{56}) + \frac{\varphi(e_{16})\varphi(e_{32})\varphi(e_{54})}{\varphi(e_{12})\varphi(e_{34})}$.

So, $r(G_7^{\varphi}) = 4$ if and only if the subgraph induced on vertices 1, 2, 6 is of Type E and the subgraph induced on vertices 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 is of Type A.

$$A(G_8^{\varphi}) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \varphi(e_{12}) & 0 & 0 & 0 & \varphi(e_{16}) \\ \varphi(e_{21}) & 0 & \varphi(e_{23}) & 0 & \varphi(e_{25}) & 0 \\ 0 & \varphi(e_{32}) & 0 & \varphi(e_{34}) & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \varphi(e_{43}) & 0 & \varphi(e_{45}) & 0 \\ 0 & \varphi(e_{52}) & 0 & \varphi(e_{54}) & 0 & \varphi(e_{56}) \\ \varphi(e_{61}) & 0 & 0 & 0 & \varphi(e_{65}) & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

By simple calculations, we have

$$r(G_8^{\varphi}) = r\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \varphi(e_{12}) \\ \varphi(e_{21}) & 0 \end{pmatrix} + r\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \varphi(e_{34}) \\ \varphi(e_{43}) & 0 \end{pmatrix} + r\begin{pmatrix} 0 & a \\ \overline{a} & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

where $a = \frac{\varphi(e_{16})\varphi(e_{32})\varphi(e_{54}) - \varphi(e_{16})\varphi(e_{34})\varphi(e_{52}) + \varphi(e_{12})\varphi(e_{34})\varphi(e_{56})}{\varphi(e_{12})\varphi(e_{34})}$.

So, $r(G_8^{\varphi}) = 4$ if and only if $a = 0$, i.e.,

$\varphi(e_{16})\varphi(e_{32})\varphi(e_{54}) - \varphi(e_{16})\varphi(e_{34})\varphi(e_{52}) + \varphi(e_{12})\varphi(e_{34})\varphi(e_{56}) = 0.$

$$A(G_9^{\varphi}) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \varphi(e_{12}) & 0 & \varphi(e_{14}) & 0 \\ \varphi(e_{21}) & 0 & \varphi(e_{23}) & 0 & \varphi(e_{25}) \\ 0 & \varphi(e_{32}) & 0 & \varphi(e_{34}) & 0 \\ \varphi(e_{41}) & 0 & \varphi(e_{43}) & 0 & \varphi(e_{45}) \\ 0 & \varphi(e_{52}) & 0 & \varphi(e_{54}) & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

By simple calculations, we have

$$r(G_9^{\varphi}) = r\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \varphi(e_{12}) \\ \varphi(e_{21}) & 0 \end{pmatrix} + r\begin{pmatrix} 0 & a & 0 \\ \overline{a} & 0 & \overline{b} \\ 0 & b & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

where $a = \varphi(e_{34}) - \varphi(e_{14})\frac{\varphi(e_{32})}{\varphi(e_{12})}$, $b = \varphi(e_{54}) - \varphi(e_{14})\frac{\varphi(e_{52})}{\varphi(e_{12})}$.

So, we have

$$r(G_9^{\varphi}) = \begin{cases} 2, \text{ if } a = 0,\ b = 0, \\ 4, \text{ if } a = 0,\ b \neq 0, \text{ or } a \neq 0,\ b = 0, \text{ or } a \neq 0,\ b \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

i.e., $r(G_9^{\varphi}) = 2$ if and only if the subgraphs induced on vertices 1, 2, 3, 4 and 1, 2, 5, 4 are of Type A.

$r(G_9^{\varphi}) = 4$ if and only if the subgraph induced on vertices 1, 2, 3, 4 is of Type A and the subgraph induced on vertices 1, 2, 5, 4 is of Type B, or the subgraph induced on vertices 1, 2, 3, 4 is of Type B and the subgraph induced on vertices 1, 2, 5, 4 is of Type A or B.

$$A(G_{10}^{\varphi}) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \varphi(e_{12}) & 0 & 0 & \varphi(e_{15}) & 0 \\ \varphi(e_{21}) & 0 & \varphi(e_{23}) & 0 & 0 & \varphi(e_{26}) \\ 0 & \varphi(e_{32}) & 0 & \varphi(e_{34}) & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \varphi(e_{43}) & 0 & \varphi(e_{45}) & 0 \\ \varphi(e_{51}) & 0 & 0 & \varphi(e_{54}) & 0 & \varphi(e_{56}) \\ 0 & \varphi(e_{62}) & 0 & 0 & \varphi(e_{65}) & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

By simple calculations, we have

$$r(G_{10}^{\varphi}) = r\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \varphi(e_{12}) \\ \varphi(e_{21}) & 0 \end{pmatrix} + r\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \varphi(e_{34}) \\ \varphi(e_{43}) & 0 \end{pmatrix} + r\begin{pmatrix} a+\overline{a} & \overline{b} \\ b & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

where $a = \frac{\varphi(e_{15})\varphi(e_{32})\varphi(e_{54})}{\varphi(e_{12})\varphi(e_{34})}$, $b = \varphi(e_{65}) - \frac{\varphi(e_{15})\varphi(e_{62})}{\varphi(e_{12})}$.

So, $r(G_{10}^{\varphi}) = 4$ if and only if $Re(a) = 0$ and $b = 0$, i.e., the subgraph induced on vertices 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 is of Type E and the subgraph induced on vertices 1, 2, 6, 5 is of Type A.

$$A(G_{11}^{\varphi}) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \varphi(e_{12}) & 0 & 0 & 0 & \varphi(e_{16}) & 0 \\ \varphi(e_{21}) & 0 & \varphi(e_{23}) & 0 & 0 & 0 & \varphi(e_{27}) \\ 0 & \varphi(e_{32}) & 0 & \varphi(e_{34}) & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \varphi(e_{43}) & 0 & \varphi(e_{45}) & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \varphi(e_{54}) & 0 & \varphi(e_{56}) & 0 \\ \varphi(e_{61}) & 0 & 0 & 0 & \varphi(e_{65}) & 0 & \varphi(e_{67}) \\ 0 & \varphi(e_{72}) & 0 & 0 & 0 & \varphi(e_{76}) & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

By simple calculations, we have

$$r(G_{11}^{\varphi}) = r\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \varphi(e_{12}) \\ \varphi(e_{21}) & 0 \end{pmatrix} + r\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \varphi(e_{34}) \\ \varphi(e_{43}) & 0 \end{pmatrix} + r\begin{pmatrix} 0 & a & 0 \\ \overline{a} & 0 & \overline{b} \\ 0 & b & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

where $a = \varphi(e_{56}) + \frac{\varphi(e_{16})\varphi(e_{32})\varphi(e_{54})}{\varphi(e_{12})\varphi(e_{34})}$, $b = \varphi(e_{76}) - \varphi(e_{16})\frac{\varphi(e_{72})}{\varphi(e_{12})}$.

So, we have $r(G_{11}^{\varphi}) = 4$ if and only if $a = 0,\ b = 0$.

i.e., $r(G_{11}^{\varphi}) = 4$ if and only if the subgraphs induced on vertices 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 and 1, 2, 7, 6 are of Type A.

If $p \geq 0,\ l \geq 1,\ q \geq 4$ or $p \geq 0,\ l \geq 2,\ q \geq 3$ or $p \geq 1,\ l \geq 1,\ q \geq 4$, by Lemmas 2.1, 2.2 and 2.3, then we have $r(\Phi) \geq 6$.

This proof is complete. □

Theorem 4.2. *Let $\Phi = (G, \varphi)$ be a $\mathbb{T}$-gain bicyclic graph with pendant vertices but no pendant twins. Then $r(\Phi) = 4$ if and only if Φ with one of G_i's $(i = 12 - 22)$ (as shown in Fig. 4) as its underlying graph, and the corresponding gain conditions are as shown in Table 2.*

Proof. By Theorems 3.2, 3.3 and 3.4, we need only characterize all $\mathbb{T}$-gain bicyclic graphs with $\theta(0, 1, 1)$, $\theta(0, 1, 2)$, and $\theta(1, 1, 1)$ as bases.

Table 2

The gain conditions for each gain graph in Theorem 4.2 satisfying $r(G^{\varphi}) = 4$.

Gain graphs G^{φ}	Gain conditions of G^{φ}
G^{φ}_{12}	the subgraph induced on vertices 1, 2, 3 is of Type E.
G^{φ}_{13}, G^{φ}_{14}, G^{φ}_{19}, G^{φ}_{20}	any gain.
G^{φ}_{15}, G^{φ}_{16}	the subgraph induced on vertices 1, 2, 3 is of Type E and the subgraph induced on vertices 1, 2, 4, 3 is of Type A.
G^{φ}_{17}, G^{φ}_{18}	the subgraph induced on vertices 1, 2, 3, 4 is of Type A.
G^{φ}_{21}, G^{φ}_{22}	the subgraphs induced on vertices 1, 2, 3, 4 and 1, 2, 5, 4 are of Type A.



Figure 4: The eleven graphs in Theorem 4.2.

Case 1. G is a bicyclic graph with $\theta(0,1,1)$ as a base.

Subcase 1.1 $G - \theta(0,1,1)$ is a collection of isolated vertices.

If $| G - \theta(0,1,1) | = 1$, then G is G_{12} or G_{13} (as shown in Fig. 4). For G^{φ}_{12}, by Lemmas 2.2 and 2.3, we have $r(G^{\varphi}_{12}) = 4$ if and only if the subgraph induced on vertices 1, 2, 3 in G^{φ}_{12} is of Type E. For G^{φ}_{13}, by Lemma 2.2, we have $r(G^{\varphi}_{13}) = 4$, where each edge in G^{φ}_{13} has any gain.

If $| G - \theta(0,1,1) | = 2$, then G is G_{14} (as shown in Fig. 4). By Lemma 2.2, we have $r(G^{\varphi}_{14}) = 4$, where each edge in G^{φ}_{14} has any gain.

If $| G - \theta(0,1,1) | \geq 3$, by Lemma 2.2, then we have $r(\Phi) \geq 6$.

Subcase 1.2 If $G - \theta(0,1,1) = P_2$, then G is G_{15} or G_{16} (as shown in Fig. 4). By Lemma 2.2 and Theorem 4.1, we have $r(\Phi) = 4$ if and only if the subgraph induced on vertices 1, 2, 3 is of Type E and the subgraph induced on vertices 1, 2, 4, 3 is of Type A in G^{φ}_{15} or G^{φ}_{16}.

Subcase 1.3 If $G - \theta(0,1,1)$ contains the union of P_2 and isolated vertices or contains P_3 as an induced subgraph, by Lemmas 2.2 and 2.3, then we have $r(\Phi) \geq 6$.

Case 2. G is a bicyclic graph with $\theta(0,1,2)$ as a base.

Subcase 2.1 $G - \theta(0,1,2)$ is a collection of isolated vertices.

If $| G - \theta(0,1,2) | = 1$, then G is G_{17} (as shown in Fig. 4). By Lemmas 2.2 and 2.3, we have $r(G^{\varphi}_{17}) = 4$ if and only if the subgraph induced on vertices 1, 2, 3, 4 in G^{φ}_{17} is of Type A.

If $| G - \theta(0,1,2) | \geq 2$, by Lemma 2.2, then we have $r(\Phi) \geq 6$.

Subcase 2.2 $G - \theta(0,1,2)$ contains P_2 as an induced subgraph.

In this case, by Lemma 2.2 and Theorem 4.1, we have $r(\Phi) \geq 6$.

Case 3. G is a bicyclic graph with $\theta(1,1,1)$ as a base.

Subcase 3.1 $G - \theta(1,1,1)$ is a collection of isolated vertices.

If $| G - \theta(1,1,1) | = 1$, then G is G_{18} or G_{19} (as shown in Fig. 4). For G^{φ}_{18}, by Lemmas 2.2 and 2.3, we have $r(G^{\varphi}_{18}) = 4$ if and only if the subgraph induced on vertices 1, 2, 3, 4 in G^{φ}_{18} is of Type A. For G^{φ}_{19}, by Lemma 2.2, we have $r(G^{\varphi}_{19}) = 4$, where each edge in G^{φ}_{19} has any gain.

If $| G - \theta(1,1,1) | = 2$, then G is G_{20} (as shown in Fig. 4). By Lemma 2.2, we have $r(G^{\varphi}_{20}) = 4$, where each edge in G^{φ}_{20} has any gain.

If $| G - \theta(1,1,1) | \geq 3$, by Lemma 2.2, then we have $r(\Phi) \geq 6$.

Subcase 3.2 If $G-\theta(1,1,1)=P_2$, then G is G_{21} or G_{22} (as shown in Fig. 4). By Lemma 2.2 and Theorem 4.1, we have $r(\Phi)=4$ if and only if the subgraphs induced on vertices 1, 2, 3, 4 and 1, 2, 5, 4 are of Type A in G_{21}^{φ} or G_{22}^{φ}.

Subcase 3.3 If $G-\theta(1,1,1)$ contains the union of P_2 and isolated vertices or contains P_3 as an induced subgraph, by Lemmas 2.2 and 2.3, then we have $r(\Phi)\geq 6$.

This proof is complete. □

References

[1] C. Adiga, R. Balakrishnan, W. So, The skew energy of a digraph, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 432(2010) 1825–1835.

[2] X.L. Li, H.S. Lian, A survey on the skew energy of oriented graphs, 2013, preprint arXiv:1304.5707[math,CO].

[3] X.L. Li, G.H. Yu, The skew-rank of oriented graphs, *Sci. Sin. Math.* 45(2015) 93–104. (in Chinese)

[4] Y. Lu, L.G. Wang, Q.N. Zhou, Bicyclic oriented graphs with skew-rank 6, *Appl. Math. Comput.* 270(2015) 899–908.

[5] H. Qu, G.H. Yu, Bicyclic oriented graphs with skew-rank 2 or 4, *Appl. Math. Comput.* 258(2015) 182–191.

[6] N. Reff, Spectral properties of complex unit gain graphs, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 436(2012) 3165–3176.

[7] G.H. Yu, L.H. Feng, Q.W. Wang, Bicyclic graphs with small positive index of inertia, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 438(2013) 2036–2045.

[8] G.H. Yu, H. Qu, J.H. Tu, Inertia of complex unit gain graphs, *Appl. Math. Comput.* 265(2015) 619–629.

[9] G.H. Yu, X.D. Zhang, L.H. Feng, The inertia of weighted unicyclic graphs, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 448(2014) 130–152.

[10] T. Zaslavsky, Biased graphs. I. Bias, balance and gains, *J. Comb. Theory Ser. B* 47(1989) 32-52.